Improving the Readability of Time-frequency Representations using Deep Neural Networks

D. Fourer, F. Auger, S. Houidi, M. Sebag, H. Maaref, V. Vigneron

31 janvier 2020



Motivation : non stationary multicomponent signals are everywhere...



ASTRES project (ANR-13-BS03-0002) 2013-2017

Consolidate, unify, extend and apply Reassignment, Synchosqueezing and EMD methods for :

- Computing efficient and meaningful signal representations
- Disentangling the elementary components of multicomponent signals
- Developing and applying tools for high-level processing (e.g. signal restoration, information extraction, modeling and regression, etc.)

The new ASCETE project

Goals : Combining deterministic and stochastic approaches to extend the proposed techniques to more complex signals

- Deterministic models combined with machine learning (e.g. deep neural networks)
- Difficult cases for mode recovery (e.g. overlapping components, noisy signals, etc.)
- Combining synchrosqueezing with Non-negative Matrix Factorization (NMF)
- Generalization to high dimension signals (images, tensors, etc.)
- New practical applications (perception, biomedicine, astronomy, etc.)

Project tasks :

- Objective 1 : New approaches for the study of MCSs with synchrosqueezing transforms
- Objective 2 : Improving signal representations using data-driven and machine learning approaches
- Objective 3 : Combining non negative matrix factorization and SST, Phase retrieval
- Objective 4 : Applications and software developments

The reassignment method Synchrosqueezing in a nutshell

The reassignment method [Kodera et al. 1978] [Auger & Flandrin 1995]

TF reassignment improves the energy concentration (readability) of any bilinear distribution by reassigning its energy to new locations closer to real signal support.

Considering a time-frequency representation (TFR) of a signal x expressed in terms of the Wigner-Ville distribution as :

$$\mathsf{TFR}_{\mathsf{x}}(t,\omega) = \iint_{\mathbb{R}^2} \mathsf{WV}_{\mathsf{x}}(\tau,\Omega) \Phi(t-\tau,\omega-\Omega) d\tau d\Omega$$

Method description

• Computation of the reassignment operators :

$$\hat{\mathbf{i}}(\mathbf{t},\omega) = \frac{\int_{\mathbb{R}^2} \tau \mathbf{W} \mathbf{V}_{\mathbf{x}}(\tau,\Omega) \Phi(\mathbf{t}-\tau,\omega-\Omega) d\tau d\Omega}{\int_{\mathbb{R}^2} \mathbf{W} \mathbf{V}_{\mathbf{x}}(\tau,\Omega) \Phi(\mathbf{t}-\tau,\omega-\Omega) d\tau d\Omega}$$
(1)

$$\hat{\omega}(\mathbf{t},\omega) = \frac{\int_{\mathbb{R}^2} \Omega \mathbf{W} \mathbf{V}_{\mathbf{X}}(\tau,\Omega) \Phi(\mathbf{t}-\tau,\omega-\Omega) d\tau d\Omega}{\int_{\mathbb{R}^2} \mathbf{W} \mathbf{V}_{\mathbf{X}}(\tau,\Omega) \Phi(\mathbf{t}-\tau,\omega-\Omega) d\tau d\Omega}.$$
(2)

• Computation of the reassigned time-frequency representation :

$$\mathsf{RTFR}_{\mathbf{X}}(\mathbf{t},\,\omega) = \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} \mathsf{TFR}_{\mathbf{X}}(\tau,\,\Omega) \delta(\mathbf{t} - \hat{\mathbf{t}}(\tau,\,\Omega)) \delta(\omega - \hat{\omega}(\tau,\,\Omega)) \, d\tau \, d\Omega \tag{3}$$

The reassignment method Synchrosqueezing in a nutshell

Example : the reassigned spectrogram

 $X^{h}(\mathbf{t}, \omega) = \int_{\mathbb{R}} \mathbf{x}(\tau) h(\tau - \mathbf{t})^{*} e^{-j\omega \tau} d\tau$ being the STFT of a signal x using a differentiable analysis window h.

$$\hat{\mathbf{t}}(\mathbf{t},\omega) = -\frac{\partial \Phi_{\mathbf{x}}^{\mathbf{h}}}{\partial \omega}(\mathbf{t},\omega) = \mathbf{t} + \mathsf{Re}\left(\frac{\mathbf{x} \, \mathcal{T}h(\mathbf{t},\omega)}{\mathbf{x}h(\mathbf{t},\omega)}\right) \qquad \text{, with } \mathcal{T}h(\mathbf{t}) = \mathbf{t} \, h(\mathbf{t}) \tag{4}$$

$$\hat{\omega}(\mathbf{t},\omega) = \omega + \frac{\partial \Phi_{\mathbf{x}}^{\mathbf{h}}}{\partial \mathbf{t}}(\mathbf{t},\omega) = \omega + \operatorname{Im}\left(\frac{\mathbf{x}Dh(\mathbf{t},\omega)}{\mathbf{x}h(\mathbf{t},\omega)}\right) \quad , \text{ with } Dh(\mathbf{t}) = \frac{dh}{d\mathbf{t}}(\mathbf{t})$$
(5)

$$\mathbf{R}_{\mathbf{X}}(\mathbf{t},\omega) = \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} \left| \mathbf{X}^{\mathbf{h}}(\tau,\Omega) \right|^2 \delta(\mathbf{t} - \hat{\mathbf{t}}(\tau,\Omega)) \delta(\omega - \hat{\omega}(\tau,\Omega)) \, d\tau \frac{d\Omega}{2\pi} \tag{6}$$

(a)
$$|X^{h}(t,\omega)|^{2}$$
 (b) $|R^{h}_{x}(t,\omega)|^{2}$

The reassignment method Synchrosqueezing in a nutshell

Synchrosqueezing

Can be viewed as a particular reassignment method which allows to compute sharpen and reversible TFRs [Daubechies 1996, 2011] [Thakur 2011]. Computation of the synchrosqueezed STFT and of its signal reconstruction formula :

$$\mathbf{S}_{\mathbf{X}}(\mathbf{t},\omega) = \frac{\mathbf{1}}{h(\mathbf{0})} \int_{\mathbb{R}} \mathbf{X}^{\mathbf{h}}(\mathbf{t},\Omega) \delta(\omega - \hat{\omega}(\mathbf{t},\Omega)) \frac{d\Omega}{2\pi}$$
(7)

$$\hat{\mathbf{x}}(\mathbf{t}) = \int_{\mathrm{supp}\Omega(\mathbf{x})} \mathbf{S}_{\mathbf{x}}(\mathbf{t}, \Omega) d\Omega$$
(8)

(c)
$$|X^{h}(t,\omega)|^{2}$$
 (d) $|S_{x}(t,\omega)|^{2}$

Recent advances for reassignment/synchrosqueezing

- Levenberg-Marquardt reassignment [Auger, et al., 2012]
- Second-order vertical and oblique synchrosqueezing [Oberlin, et al., 2015]
- Recursive Levenberg-Marquardt reassignment and synchrosqueezing [Fourer, et al., 2016]
- Chirp demodulation [Meignen et al., 2017]
- Higher-order synchrosqueezing [Pham, Meignen et al., 2017]
- Synchro-extracting transform [Yu et al., 2017]
- Horizontal (time-reassigned) synchrosqueezing [He et al., 2019]
- High-order chirp demodulation [Pham, Meignen et al., 2019]
- Second-order horizontal synchrosqueezing [Fourer, Auger, 2019]
- ...

The (already outdated) TFTB and ASTRES toolbox

F. Auger, P. Flandrin, P. Gonçalvès and O. Lemoine. "Time-frequency toolbox, CNRS/Rice University, France.", 1995.

```
http://tftb.nongnu.org
```

D. Fourer, J. Harmouche, J. Schmitt, T. Oberlin, S. Meignen, F. Auger and P. Flandrin. The ASTRES Toolbox for Mode Extraction of Non-Stationary Multicomponent Signals. Proc. EUSIPCO 2017, Aug. 2017.

Kos Island, Greece. https://github.com/dfourer/ASTRES_toolbox

The reassignment method Synchrosqueezing in a nutshell

Limitations and current challenges



- Robustness to noise
- Overlapping components
- Efficient Ridge estimation and mode extraction
- Optimal hyperparameters tuning
- Generalization to multidimensional signals
- Perfect signal reconstruction from (synchrosqueezed or not) TFRs
- Phase retrieval from real-valued TFRs (eg. spectrogram, scalogram, etc.)

• ...

New proposed approach

Principle : regression of the reassignment operation using Convolutional Neural Networks (CNN)



Figure : Proposed DNN-based reassignment method

Motivation

- Reassignment can be viewed as an image post-processing operation
- Capability to synthesize the ideal time-frequency representation of a given signal model allowing to generate a simulated training datasets
- Consideration of noisy signals to improve the robustness of the trained model

Deep neural network architecture



Figure : Proposed architecture based on 2D CNN.

- \bullet Uses 2D convolutional neurons with a 5 \times 5 kernel
- Activation function : REctified Linear Unit (RELU)
- Dropout : Randomly discard 10% of the computed coefficients
- Optimizer : RMSProp¹

^{1.} Bengio, Yoshua. "Rmsprop and equilibrated adaptive learning rates for nonconvex optimization." corr abs/1502.04390 (2015).

Sinusoidal signal model

• Time-domain expression :

$$x(t) = a_x(t) e^{j(\phi_x + \omega_x(t)t)}$$
(9)

with $a_x(t)$, $\omega_x(t)$ the instantaneous amplitude and instantaneous frequency. ϕ_x is the initial phase.

• Ideal time-frequency representation :

$$\mathsf{ITFR}_{\mathsf{x}}(t,\omega) = \begin{cases} \mathsf{a}_{\mathsf{x}}(t) & \text{if } \omega = \omega_{\mathsf{x}}(t), \\ 0 & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}$$
(10)



Impulse signal model

• Time-domain expression :

$$x(t) = a_x \delta(t - t_0) \tag{11}$$

• Ideal time-frequency representation :





Mixture signal model :

• Time-domain expression :

$$x(t) = \sum_{i=1}^{l} s_i(t)$$
 (13)

where s_i denotes an elementary signal component.

• Ideal time-frequency representation :

$$\mathsf{ITFR}_{\mathsf{x}}(t,\omega) = \sum_{i=1}^{l} \mathsf{ITFR}_{\mathbf{s}_{i}}(t,\omega) \tag{14}$$



Implementation

Training

- We compute for each signal x its magnitude $|X^h|$ (X^h being the STFT of x) and its ideal time-frequency representation Y.
- We train the CNN to minimize the Mean Squared Error (MSE) between the estimated TFR \hat{Y} and the ideal TFR :

$$\mathcal{L}(Y, \hat{Y}) = \frac{1}{NM} \sum_{n=1}^{N} \sum_{m=1}^{M} |Y[n, m] - \hat{Y}[n, m]|^2$$
(15)

DNN-based reassignment

• The trained DNN operator is applied on $|X^h|$ to estimate \hat{Y} .

Experiment

We consider 3 datasets made of 3,000 randomly (uniformly sampled) generated multicomponent signals ($I \in [1; 10]$) merged with a white Gaussian noise (SNR $\in [5, 2545]dB$) :

- Sinusoidal dataset such as $x(t) = \sum_{i=1}^{l} \exp\left(\sum_{p=0}^{P} c_p t^p\right)$ with $c_p \in \mathbb{C}$ and $P \leq 2$
- Impulse dataset such as $x(t) = \sum_{i=1}^{l} a_i \delta(t t_i)$ with $a_i, t_i \in \mathbb{R}^+$
- Sinusoid + impulses dataset : merging of the two previously proposed datasets

The 3 datasets lead to 3 distinct DNN models : DNN1, DNN2 and DNN3.



Unitary test on a sinusoidal signal 1/2



Unitary test on a sinusoidal signal 2/2



 $\Gamma'_{17/27}$

Unitary test on an impulse signal 1/2



Figure Comparison between $|X_h^h|$ ITER DNN2 estimation and classical received $\frac{18/27}{18}$

Unitary test on an impulse signal 2/2



Figure : Comparison between $|X^h|$, ITFR, DNN2 estimation and classical reassigned ^{19/27}

Noisy signal (SNR=5dB)



Noisy signal (SNR=5dB)



21/27

Multi-component noisy signal 1/2



Multi-component noisy signal 2/2



 $\sum_{k=1}^{n} (x_k) = \sum_{k=1}^{n} (x_k) = \sum_{k$

Overlapping components 1/2



Eigure : Comparison between $|X^{h}|$ ITEP. DNN2 estimation and electical reassigned $\frac{24/27}{24}$

Overlapping components 2/2



25/27

Summary

Contributions

- A new proposed DNN-based reassignment operator
- A slight improvement in presence of noise when compared to classical reassignment
- Deals with overlapping components

Limitations

- Computed TFRs are non invertible (for the moment)
- Reassignment operators parameters (IF and IG_d) are not explicited
- Requires more investigation on real-world signals

Future work directions



Figure : Comparison between DNN3 and classical reassignment.

- Increasing the database size and the number of DNN parameters
- Applications on real-world data (audio, biomedicine, etc.)
- A more complete comparative investigation and evaluation
- Modification of the architecture to estimate the reassignment operators (IF and IG_d)
- Application to DNN-based ridge estimation