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## Regular convex cones

## Definition

A regular convex cone $K \subset \mathbb{R}^{n}$ is a closed convex cone having nonempty interior and containing no lines.

The dual cone

$$
K^{*}=\left\{s \in \mathbb{R}_{n} \mid\langle x, s\rangle \geq 0 \quad \forall x \in K\right\}
$$

of a regular convex cone $K$ is also regular.

## Conic programs

## Definition

A conic program over a regular convex cone $K \subset \mathbb{R}^{n}$ is an optimization problem of the form

$$
\min _{x \in K}\langle c, x\rangle: \quad A x=b
$$

to every conic program we can associate a dual program over the dual cone $K^{*}$
examples

- linear programs (LP)
- second-order cone programs (SOCP)
- semi-definite programs (SDP)
- geometric programs (GP)


## Geometric interpretation



## History of conic programming

LP: Simplex method [Dantzig 1951], exp. compl.

Ellipsoid method
[Yudin, Nemirovski 1976] polynomial-time

## LP: Interior-point projective scaling [Karmarkar 1984] polynomial-time

LP: Interior-point affine scaling [Dikin 1967] rediscovery 1986

## LP: Primal-dual IP <br> [Kojima, Mizuno, Yoshise 1989] [Monteiro, Adler 1989] [Todd, Ye 1990]

CP: primal, primal-dual IP
[Nesterov, Nemirovski 1994]
systematic approach
Universal barrier

Symmetric cones IP [Nesterov, Todd 1994] self-scaled barriers

Symmetric cones IP Euclidean Jordan algebras [Faybusovich 1995]

Classification of self-scaled barriers
[Hauser 1999, 2000]
[Hauser, Güler 2002]
[Hauser, Lim 2002]
[Schmieta 2000]

## Logarithmically homogeneous barriers

## Definition (Nesterov, Nemirovski 1994)

Let $K \subset \mathbb{R}^{n}$ be a regular convex cone. A (self-concordant logarithmically homogeneous) barrier on $K$ is a smooth function $F: K^{\circ} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ on the interior of $K$ such that

- $F(\alpha x)=-\nu \log \alpha+F(x)$ (logarithmic homogeneity)
- $F^{\prime \prime}(x) \succ 0$ (convexity)
- $\lim _{x \rightarrow \partial K} F(x)=+\infty$ (boundary behaviour)
- $\left|F^{\prime \prime \prime}(x)[h, h, h]\right| \leq 2\left(F^{\prime \prime}(x)[h, h]\right)^{3 / 2}$ (self-concordance)
for all tangent vectors $h$ at $x$.
The homogeneity parameter $\nu$ is called the barrier parameter.
Theorem (Nesterov, Nemirovski 1994)
Let $K \subset \mathbb{R}^{n}$ be a regular convex cone and $F: K^{o} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ a barrier on $K$ with parameter $\nu$. Then the Legendre transform $F^{*}$ is a barrier on $-K^{*}$ with parameter $\nu$.


## Barriers as penalty functions

let $K \subset \mathbb{R}^{n}$ be a regular convex cone
let $F: K^{\circ} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ be a barrier on $K$
consider the conic program

$$
\min _{x \in K}\langle c, x\rangle: \quad A x=b
$$

for $\tau>0$, solve instead the unconstrained problem

$$
\min _{x \in \mathbb{R}^{n}} \tau\langle c, x\rangle+F(x): \quad A x=b
$$

- unique minimizer $x^{*}(\tau) \in K^{\circ}$ for every $\tau>0$
- solution depends continuously on $\tau$ (central path)
- $x^{*}(\tau) \rightarrow x^{*}$ as $\tau \rightarrow \infty$


## Path-following methods

alternate Newton steps and increments of $\tau$
the smaller the barrier parameter $\nu$, the faster we can increase $\tau$ safely
(in short-step methods) the iterates have to stay in a tube around the central path in order for the Newton method to make a controllable iteration
the larger $\nu$, the smaller the diameter of the tube
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## Affine connections

an affine connection $\nabla$ on a differentiable manifold defines the parallel transport of tangent vectors $u$ along curves $\sigma(t)$ by

$$
\dot{u}^{\gamma}+\nabla_{\alpha \beta}^{\gamma} u^{\alpha} \dot{\sigma}^{\beta}=\left(\frac{\partial u^{\gamma}}{\partial x^{\beta}}+\nabla_{\alpha \beta}^{\gamma} u^{\alpha}\right) \dot{\sigma}^{\beta}=0
$$

the covariant derivative of the vector field $u$ is given by

$$
\nabla_{\beta} u^{\gamma}=\frac{\partial u^{\gamma}}{\partial x^{\beta}}+\nabla_{\alpha \beta}^{\gamma} u^{\alpha}
$$

we may also define the covariant derivative of general tensors law of transformation under coordinate changes $x \mapsto y$

$$
\nabla_{\alpha \beta}^{\gamma} \mapsto \frac{\partial x^{p}}{\partial y^{\alpha}} \frac{\partial x^{q}}{\partial y^{\beta}} \nabla_{p q}^{r} \frac{\partial y^{\gamma}}{\partial x^{r}}+\frac{\partial y^{\gamma}}{\partial x^{m}} \frac{\partial^{2} x^{m}}{\partial y^{\alpha} \partial y^{\beta}}
$$

example: the flat affine connection on $\mathbb{R}^{n}$ is given by $\nabla_{\alpha \beta}^{\gamma}=0$ in affine coordinates

## Affine differential geometry

let $M \hookrightarrow \mathbb{R}^{n+1}$ be a hypersurface immersion and $\xi$ a transversal vector field on $M$

which objects can be defined on $M$ by the connection on $\mathbb{R}^{n+1}$ ?

## Affine metric, affine connection, cubic form

let $y^{0}, \ldots, y^{n}$ be affine coordinates on $\mathbb{R}^{n+1}$ and $x^{1}, \ldots, x^{n}$ coordinates on $M$
extend these to a neighbourhood of $M$ and complement with a coordinate $x^{0}$ such that

- $M$ is a level surface of $x^{0}$
- $\xi=\frac{\partial}{\partial x^{0}}$ on $M$
in $x$ coordinates the flat affine connection of $\mathbb{R}^{n+1}$ becomes

$$
\nabla_{i j}^{r}=\frac{\partial x^{r}}{\partial y^{s}} \frac{\partial^{2} y^{s}}{\partial x^{i} \partial x^{j}}, \quad \nabla_{i j}^{0}=\frac{\partial x^{0}}{\partial y^{s}} \frac{\partial^{2} y^{s}}{\partial x^{i} \partial x^{j}}
$$

$i, j, r=1, \ldots, n$
$\nabla_{i j}^{r}$ is called the affine connection, $\nabla_{i j}^{0}=h_{i j}$ the affine metric, and $C=\nabla h$ the cubic form on $M$

## Centro-affine immersions

in centro-affine immersions the transversal vector field $\xi$ equals the position vector field $x$

the cubic form $C=\nabla h$ is totally symmetric

## Conormal map

let $M \hookrightarrow \mathbb{R}^{n+1}$ be a hypersurface immersion
to each $x \in M$ we associate a vector $p \in \mathbb{R}_{n+1}$ such that

- $p$ is tangent to $M$ at $x$
- $\langle p, \xi\rangle=1$ at $x$
this hypersurface immersion $M \hookrightarrow \mathbb{R}_{n+1}$ is the conormal map

the conormal map defines a duality on the class of centro-affine hypersurface immersions
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## Centro-affine geometry of barriers

let $K \subset \mathbb{R}^{n}$ a regular convex cone, and $F: K^{\circ} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ a logarithmically homogeneous function of degree $-\nu$

Theorem
Let $M$ be a level surface of $F$. Then the centro-affine metric $h$ and the cubic form $C$ of $M$ on a tangent vector $u$ to $M$ are given by

$$
\begin{aligned}
h[u, u] & =\nu^{-1} F^{\prime \prime}[u, u], \\
C[u, u, u] & =\nu^{-1} F^{\prime \prime \prime}[u, u, u] .
\end{aligned}
$$

The immersion defined by the conormal map is a level surface of the dual barrier $F^{*}$.
$h, C$ are the projective counterparts of the derivatives $F^{\prime \prime}, F^{\prime \prime \prime}$ indeed, Karmarkar used a metric proportional to $h$ on the simplex in his algorithm

## Self-concordance and boundedness of cubic form

Theorem
Let $K \subset \mathbb{R}^{n}, n \geq 2$, be a regular convex cone and $F: K^{o} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ a logarithmically homogeneous locally strongly convex function with homogeneity parameter $\nu$. Let $M$ be a level surface of $F$.
Then $F$ is self-concordant if and only if

$$
|C[u, u, u]| \leq 2 \gamma(h[u, u])^{3 / 2}
$$

for all vectors $u$ which are tangent to $M$. Here $\gamma=\frac{\nu-2}{\sqrt{\nu-1}}$.
Corollary
On cones $K \subset \mathbb{R}^{n}, n \geq 2$, there exist no barriers with parameter $\nu<2$.

## Dependence between $\gamma$ and $\nu$



## Extreme case $\nu=2$

## Corollary

Let $K \subset \mathbb{R}^{n}$ be a regular convex cone, and $n \geq 2$. Let $F: K^{\circ} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ be a self-concordant barrier on $K$. Then $F$ has parameter $\nu \geq 2$, with equality if and only if $K$ is isomorphic to the Lorentz cone and $F$ to the hyperbolic barrier on $K$.
the Lorentz cone $L_{n} \subset \mathbb{R}^{n}$ is the cone

$$
\left\{x=\left(x_{0}, x_{1}, \ldots, x_{n-1}\right)^{T} \mid x_{0} \geq \sqrt{x_{1}^{2}+\cdots+x_{n-1}^{2}}\right\}
$$

its hyperbolic barrier is given by

$$
F(x)=-\frac{1}{2} \log \left(x_{0}^{2}-x_{1}^{2}-\cdots-x_{n-1}^{2}\right)
$$

the level surfaces are isometric to hyperbolic space
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## Affine normal

 non-degenerate convex hypersurface in $\mathbb{R}^{n}$
the affine normal is the tangent to the curve made of the gravity centers of the sections
a hypersurface immersion with the affine normal as transversal vector field is called a Blaschke immersion

## Affine spheres

a hyperbolic proper affine sphere is a convex surface such that all affine normals meet at a point outside of the convex hull
a centro-affine immersion is a proper affine sphere if and only if

- the affine normal is proportional to the position vector
- the cubic form is traceless, $C_{\alpha \beta \gamma} h^{\beta \gamma}=0$

Theorem (Calabi conjecture; Fefferman 76, Cheng-Yau 86, Li 90, and others)
Let $K \subset \mathbb{R}^{n}$ be a regular convex cone. Then there exists a unique foliation of $K^{\circ}$ by a homothetic family of affine complete and Euclidean complete hyperbolic affine hyperspheres which are asymptotic to $\partial K$.

Every affine complete, Euclidean complete hyperbolic affine hypersphere is asymptotic to the boundary of a regular convex cone.

the foliating hyperspheres are asymptotic to the boundary of $K$

## Monge-Ampère equation

characterisation of the log-homogeneous functions $F: K^{\circ} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ of degree $n$ whose level surfaces are affine spheres
up to an additive constant, $F$ is the convex solution of the Monge-Ampère equation

$$
\log \operatorname{det} F^{\prime \prime}=2 F
$$

with boundary condition

$$
\lim _{x \rightarrow \partial K} F(x)=+\infty
$$

properties

- exists and is unique
- real analytic
- invariant w.r.t. unimodular linear maps
- respects Legendre duality


## Canonical barrier

Theorem (H., 2014; independently D. Fox, 2015)
Let $K \subset \mathbb{R}^{n}$ be a regular convex cone. Then the convex solution of the Monge-Ampère equation $\log \operatorname{det} F^{\prime \prime}=2 F$ with boundary condition $\left.F\right|_{\partial K}=+\infty$ is a logarithmically homogeneous self-concordant barrier (the canonical barrier) on $K$ with parameter $\nu=n$.
main idea of proof: use non-positivity of the Ricci curvature [Calabi 1972]
already conjectured by O . Güler

- invariant under the action of $S L(\mathbb{R}, n)$
- fixed under unimodular automorphisms of $K$
- additive under the operation of taking products
- respects Legendre duality


## Universal constructions: comparison

| Property | Universal barrier | Canonical barrier |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $S L(\mathbb{R}, n)$-invariance | Yes | Yes |
| Aut $(K)$-invariance | Yes | Yes |
| product additivity | Yes | Yes |
| parameter | $O(n)$ | $\leq n$ |
| duality | No | Yes |
| computability | No | No |

for $K \subset \mathbb{R}^{3}$ with non-trivial automorphism group, the canonical barrier is given generically by elliptic integrals
for homogeneous cones the two constructions coincide
for compact sets there exists also the entropic barrier with parameter $n+O(\log n \sqrt{n})$
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## Self-scaled barriers

## Definition

Let $K \subset \mathbb{R}^{n}$ be a regular convex cone, let $K^{*}$ be its dual cone, let $F$ be a self-concordant barrier on $K$ with parameter $\nu$, and let $F_{*}$ be the dual barrier on $K^{*}$. Then $F$ is called self-scaled if for every $x, w \in K^{o}$ we have

$$
F^{\prime \prime}(w) x \in \operatorname{int} K^{*}, \quad F_{*}\left(F^{\prime \prime}(w) x\right)=F(x)-2 F(w)-\nu
$$

A cone $K$ admitting a self-scaled barrier is called self-scaled cone.
Hauser, Güler, Lim, Schmieta 1998-2002:

- self-scaled cone $\Leftrightarrow$ symmetric cone
- self-scaled barriers on products are sums of self-scaled barriers on irreducible components
- self-scaled barriers on irreducible cones are log-determinants


## Parallelism conditions

the affine connection $\nabla$ is generated by the primal immersion the dual immersion generates the dual connection $\bar{\nabla}$ the primal-dual symmetric connection $\hat{\nabla}=\frac{1}{2}(\nabla+\bar{\nabla})$ is the Levi-Civita connection of the affine metric
the most simple class of barriers are the hyperbolic barriers, on whose level surfaces $C=0$
the next class, ordered by complexity, are the barriers whose level surfaces have constant cubic form
constant means preserved by the geodesic flow of the affine metric

$$
\hat{\nabla} C=0
$$

## Equivalence between self-scaledness and parallelism

Theorem
Let $K \subset \mathbb{R}^{n}$ be a regular convex cone and $F$ a self-concordant barrier on it. Then the following are equivalent:

- $F$ is a self-scaled barrier (and $K$ a self-scaled cone)
- on the level surfaces of $F$ the condition $\hat{\nabla} C=0$ holds.

Every convex hyperbolic centro-affine hypersurface immersion satisfying $\hat{\nabla} C=0$ can be completed to the level surface of a self-scaled barrier on some symmetric cone.
this yields a local characterization of self-scaled barriers

## Sketch of proof

$\hat{\nabla} C=0$ can be rewritten as the 4-th order quasi-linear PDE

$$
F_{, \alpha \beta \gamma \delta}=\frac{1}{2} F^{, \rho \sigma}\left(F_{, \alpha \beta \rho} F_{, \gamma \delta \sigma}+F_{, \alpha \gamma \rho} F_{, \beta \delta \sigma}+F_{, \alpha \delta \rho} F_{, \beta \gamma \sigma}\right)
$$

here $F^{, \rho \sigma}$ is the inverse Hessian and $F_{, \gamma \delta \sigma}$ etc. the partial derivatives
the integrability condition of this PDE is the Jordan identity for the algebra defined by the structure tensor ( $u \bullet v=K_{\alpha \beta}^{\gamma} u^{\alpha} v^{\beta}$ )

$$
K_{\alpha \beta}^{\gamma}=-\frac{1}{2} F^{, \gamma \delta} F_{, \alpha \beta \delta}
$$

the barrier can be recovered from a metrised Euclidean Jordan algebra by

$$
F(x)=\sum_{k=2}^{\infty} \frac{(-1)^{k}}{k} g\left[x, x^{k-1}\right]
$$

## Non-convex case

most of the proof remains valid if the convexity assumption is dropped
the appropriate framework is the theory of Koechers $\omega$-domains

| convex case | general case |
| :--- | :--- |
| symmetric cone | $\omega$-domain |
| Euclidean Jordan algebra | semi-simple Jordan algebra |
| irreducible Euclidean Jordan algebra | simple Jordan algebra |
| canonical barrier | logarithmic potential $\Phi$ |
| determinant of Jordan algebra | $\omega$-function |

## Affine spheres with $\hat{\nabla} C=0$

the classification of affine spheres with parallel cubic form reduces to the classification of semi-simple Jordan algebras irreducible spheres / simple factors:

| vector space | real dimension | range | $\Phi$ | $\omega$ | affine sphere |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\mathbb{C}$ | 2 |  | $\operatorname{Re}(\log x)$ | $\|x\|^{2}$ | $\|x\|=$ const |
| $\mathbb{C}^{m}$ | $2 m$ | $m \geq 3$ | $\operatorname{Re}\left(\log x^{T} x\right)$ | $\left\|x^{T} x\right\|^{m}$ | $\left\|x^{T} x\right\|=$ const |
| $S_{m}(\mathbb{C})$ | $m(m+1)$ | $m \geq 3$ | $\operatorname{Re}(\log \operatorname{det} A)$ | $\|\operatorname{det} A\|^{m+1}$ | $\|\operatorname{det} A\|=$ const |
| $M_{m}(\mathbb{C})$ | $2 m^{2}$ | $m \geq 3$ | $\operatorname{Re}(\log \operatorname{det} A)$ | $\|\operatorname{det} A\|^{2 m}$ | $\|\operatorname{det} A\|=$ const |
| $A_{2 m}(\mathbb{C})$ | $2 m(2 m-1)$ | $m \geq 3$ | $\operatorname{Re}(\log \operatorname{pf} A)$ | $\|\operatorname{pf} A\|^{2(2 m-1)}$ | $\|\operatorname{pf} A\|=$ const |
| $H_{3}(O, \mathbb{C})$ | 54 |  | $\operatorname{Re}(\log \operatorname{det} A)$ | $\|\operatorname{det} A\|^{18}$ | $\|\operatorname{det} A\|=$ const |
| $\mathbb{R}$ | 1 |  | $\log \|x\|$ | $\|x\|$ | point |
| $\mathbb{R}^{m}$ | $m$ | $m \geq 3$ | $\log \left\|x^{T} Q x\right\|$ | $\left\|x^{T} Q x\right\|^{m / 2}$ | quadric |
| $M_{m}(\mathbb{R})$ | $m^{2}$ | $m \geq 3$ | $\log \|\operatorname{det} A\|$ | $\|\operatorname{det} A\|^{m}$ | $\operatorname{det} A=$ const |
| $M_{m}(\mathbb{H})$ | $4 m^{2}$ | $m \geq 2$ | $\log \operatorname{det} S$ | $(\operatorname{det} S)^{2 m}$ | $\operatorname{det} S=$ const |
| $S_{m}(\mathbb{R})$ | $\frac{m(m+1)}{2}$ | $m \geq 3$ | $\log \|\operatorname{det} A\|$ | $\|\operatorname{det} A\|^{(m+1) / 2}$ | $\operatorname{det} A=$ const |
| $H_{m}(\mathbb{C})$ | $m^{2}$ | $m \geq 3$ | $\log \|\operatorname{det} A\|$ | $\|\operatorname{det} A\|^{m}$ | $\operatorname{det} A=$ const |
| $H_{m}(\mathbb{H})$ | $m(2 m-1)$ | $m \geq 3$ | $\log \operatorname{det} S$ | $(\operatorname{det} S)^{m-1 / 2}$ | $\operatorname{det} S=$ const |
| $A_{2 m}(\mathbb{R})$ | $m(2 m-1)$ | $m \geq 3$ | $\log \|\operatorname{pf} A\|$ | $\|\operatorname{pf} A\|^{2 m-1}$ | $\operatorname{pf} A=$ const |
| $S H_{m}(\mathbb{H})$ | $m(2 m+1)$ | $m \geq 2$ | $\log \operatorname{det} S$ | $(\operatorname{det} S)^{m+1 / 2}$ | $\operatorname{det} S=$ const |
| $H_{3}(\mathbb{O})$ | 27 |  | $\log \|\operatorname{det} A\|$ | $\|\operatorname{det} A\|^{9}$ | $\operatorname{det} A=$ const |
| $H_{3}(O, \mathbb{R})$ | 27 |  | $\log \|\operatorname{det} A\|$ | $\|\operatorname{det} A\|^{9}$ | $\operatorname{det} A=$ const |

## Thank you

